Modeling and Classifying OOCP Languages and Constructs

Jean-Pierre BRIOT*

Dept. of Information Science The University of Tokyo Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japon

briot@is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp

This is a set of slides used as a support for a talk. Eventually will turn into a full paper. Made available as info on current Actalk version. May 1994.

^{*}Also member of LITP, Institut Blaise Pascal, Universités Paris VI & VII - CNRS, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05. Jean-Pierre.Briot@litp.ibp.fr.

Contents

1	Object-Oriented Concurrent Programming	3
2	Motivations	5
3	Design	8
4	Previous Results	10
5	Recent Developments	11
6	Architecture Decomposition	14
7	Definition, Structure and Use of an Active Object	16
8	Parameterization of Kernel Classes	17
9	Modular Decomposition and Parameterization	19
10	The Combination Issue	23
11	Example: Combining Synchronization Policies	24
12	Evaluation and Critique	29
13	Related and Further Work	30

1 Object-Oriented Concurrent Programming

- Object-oriented concurrent programming (OOCP at short) is a promising methodology to match novel challenges of distributed and open computing.
- OOCP describes computation through a collection of small self-contained modules (named *objects*) which compute and interact concurrently through some unified communication protocol (named *message passing*).
- OOCP provides a good foundation for decomposing programs and running them efficiently onto multiprocessors.

Objects and Concurrency

- OOCP achieves integration of Object-Oriented programming (OOP) with concurrency.
- It identifies objects as the unit of activity and synchronization, and associates synchronization between objects at the message passing level.
- Resulting unification of an object with an activity is named *active object*.
- This unification achieves integration of concepts of OOP and concurrency and frees the programmer from having to explicitly take care of most of synchronization discipline.
- This also preserves modularity and simplicity of OOP while enforcing selfcontainedness and autonomy of objects.

Advantages

Main advantages of OOCP may be stated as following:

- high-levelness
- implicit decomposition of concurrent activities
- transparent synchronization
- locality and self-containedness
- dynamicity and openness
- multi-granularity

Applications

- Object-oriented concurrent programming is being applied to a growing number of fields. Applications are specially growing strong in the following fields: distributed operating systems, (distributed) artificial intelligence, distributed simulation, distributed data-bases, office information systems, real-time systems and (distributed) process control.
- Significant results may also be found in other fields, e.g., natural language processing, and computer music.
- OOCP has also main impact on new multi-processor architectures, like the J-Machine.

2 Motivations

Variety of OOCP Models and Languages

- Various OOCP models, languages, and constructs have been and are still being introduced.
- Even a single language (e.g., ABCL, POOL, ConcurrentSmalltalk...) may have successive variants.
- Various proposals represent various compromises regarding various application domains and architectures targeted.

Difficulty to Relate and Combine Them

- It is not always easy to relate and compare these various proposals.
- Various syntax and implementation platforms often obscure their relations.
- It is not trivial to reuse these various models and moreover to experiment with combining them.

Three Dimensional Variation Space

Variations are mainly along three axes:

• communication

what are possible message send types?: asynchronous, unidirectional, synchronous, with implicit or explicit reply, eager reply (future), with possibly many replies, with priorities, with extra information (sender, arrival time...)?

• activity

how does an object specify its acceptance of messages?, is it implicit (reactive objects) or explicit?, possibly computing several messages simultaneously (intra-object concurrency)?

• synchronization and coordination

does an object control acceptance and activation of messages?, in regard of what ressources? (its state, current status of activations, requests...), and along which policy? (abstract states transition, guards...).

2 MOTIVATIONS

Proposal for a Unified Modeling Platform

- We therefore propose a platform for modeling/simulating various OOCP models, languages and constructs within a single unified programming environment.
- It provides a framework to help classify, design, reuse, and combine various OOCP strategies.

Motivations and Objectives

• pedagogy

to help analyze and classify various OOCP models.

• design

to help designing new OOCP strategies by derivation and combination of existing formalisms and strategies.

• experiment

to provide an environment for active experiment with various OOCP models.

A ctalk

Actalk is a platform based on the Smalltalk-80 programming environment designed with these goals in mind.

(Actalk name stands for active objects (and actors) in Smalltalk-80.)

- Actalk is based on a kernel which describes the basic semantics of active objects (that is *reactive serialized* objects communicating through *asyn-chronous unidirectional* message passing).
- This kernel may be extended (and has actually been extended) to simulate various OOCP programming languages models and constructs.

3 Design

Goals

- uniformity and modularity: one unique formalism.
- **minimality**: a *minimal kernel* for the simplest model of OOCP (reactive serialized active objects and asynchronous unidirectional message send).
- **extensibility** and **expressivity**: the kernel is extended (*subclassed*) in order to model various OOCP models, languages, and constructs.
- **simplicity**: we intend to represent fundamental characteristics and constructs of various OOCP languages. We don't address syntax considerations (Smalltalk-80 syntax model is used). We also usually don't address optimization, provability and security considerations.
- integration: Actalk is integrated within the Smalltalk-80 programming environment, allowing combination and reuse of standard Smalltalk-80 objects and programming environment tools.
- By grouping various OOCP formalisms within a single unified programming environment, the Actalk platform eases analysis, comparison, reuse and combination of these formalisms.

3 DESIGN

Representation Model

- We need to find good tradeoffs between these various goals, that is mainly between *simplicity*, *expressivity*, and *practicality*.
- We chose Smalltalk-80 as the programming foundation and environment for its following qualities:

simplicity, high-levelness, modularity, flexibility, environment richness, and large availability.

- Smalltalk-80 is modular and flexible enough to allow a very good integration of Actalk within its environment.
- Smalltalk-80 also provides all basic objects (structures, functionalities and resources) needed to represent/implement:
 - active objects: objects, classes, methods,
 - their communication: messages, shared (message) queues,
 - their *activities*: message computation (perform), processes,
 - and their *synchronization*: shared (message) queues, semaphores.

Representation (Meta-)Level

- Smalltalk-80 standard objects offer a high-level representation for Actalk active objects.
- Because Actalk and OOCP are very close to (and well integrated within) Smalltalk-80 and OOP, this representation is actually very close to some reflective meta-description, while remaining concise and efficient.
- Note that a fully reflective version/extension of Actalk has also been developed by Sylvain Giroux, and named ReActalk.
- We don't follow this approach for the current platform in order to keep optimal decomposition/concision and efficiency. Sufficient flexibility is offered by the parameterization of the Actalk architecture, by it high-level implementation, and by its integration with the underlying Smalltalk-80 system.

4 Previous Results

Actually initial development of Actalk started in 1988.

• Pedagogy

Simulation of Actor computation model behavior replacement, and ABCL/1 three types of message passing [ECOOP'89]. Variations and many examples.

Simulations of POOL, CSP and OCCAM by a team of students at University of Nantes (advised by Jean Bézivin and Olivier Roux).

• Environment

Focus quickly moved to the programming environment aspects.

User-interface framework for active objects (extension of standard Smalltalk-80 MVC framework) [TOOLS-USA'91], including some specific interface generator.

Generic scheduler of processes/activities [WOOC'93], plus scheduling visualization tools.

(Most of these environment tools were developed by Loïc Lescaudron.)

• Developments

Various DAI platforms (fine and large-grain) at Laforia, Paris.

Also: simulation of multiprocessor communications, concurrent software engineering processes...

- Experiments
 - a reflective extension of Actalk: ReActalk (Sylvain Giroux).
 - study of exception handling mechanisms for OOCP.
 - strategies for concurrent constraint resolution.
 - compilation of production rules into concurrently activated daemons.

• Parallelism

Distributed implementation of the Actalk kernel on top of GnuSmalltalk for a Transputer-based multiprocessor. (Unfortunately no time to complete it into some workable system.)

5 Recent Developments

Recently (mid-February 94) we restarted working on Actalk with this shared experience in mind.

Our goals were:

- to integrate and introduce **many more extensions** simulating various OOCP languages and constructs,
- to further improve the Actalk architecture by **increasing its modularity and expressivity**,
- to port Actalk onto the latest Smalltalk-80 version (4.1).

This has resulted in a large number of extensions and a finer decomposition of the platform parameterization.

model or language	$message \ send$	activity		constructs	synchronization
default	asynchronous &	reactive	&	no	no
	unidirectional	serialized			
Actors	asynchronous &	reactive	&	behavior	behavior
	unidirectional	$\operatorname{serialized}$		$\operatorname{replacement}$	$\operatorname{replacement}$
ABCL/1	3 types: now,	reactive	&	wait for, sender,	wait
	past, future &	serialized		atomic (no ex-	for (with where
	2 modes: stan-			m press), m non	$\operatorname{constraint})$
	dard, express			resume	
POOL2	$\operatorname{synchronous},$	autonomous		answer	answer
	public routines	body	&	(serve), unblock-	
		$\operatorname{serialized}$		ing answer, post-	
				$\operatorname{actions}$	
ConcurrentEiffel	${\rm asynchronous},$	body		unblocking serve	unblocking serve
	future	(live routine)	&		
		serialized			
Concurrent	asynchronous,	reactive	&	relinquish, post-	$\operatorname{relinquish}$
Smalltalk-II	$\operatorname{synchronous},$	serialized		$\operatorname{actions}$	
	future				-
ACT++	asynchronous,	reactive	&	abstract states	abstract states
	future	serialized	0	transition	-
OCore	asynchronous,	reactive	&	user-defined	user de-
	future	serialized		events	fined events and
	(q-structure)				meta-level
abstract states	any	any		no	abstract states
guards	any	serialized		no	guards
guards & syn-	any	$\operatorname{concurrent}$		no	guards
$\operatorname{chronization}$					
counters					
generic	any	$\operatorname{concurrent}$		no	guards on
invocations					generic
					invocations
mixed	any	$\operatorname{concurrent}$		no	abstract states
					and guards

Current Extensions and Simulations

Examples

The environment also includes some relatively large set of small examples, mostly:

- *numerical:* factorial, fibonacci, prime numbers,
- non numerical: quick sort, distributed symbol table, behavior simulation,
- *synchronization:* bounded buffer, semaphore, printer queue, dining philosophers, readers & writers, with variations on concurrency, message ordering preservation, priority, fairness...
- Several of these examples (e.g., bounded buffer including several inheritance anomaly examples) are derived in many different language models and synchronization policies in order to compare their relative pros and cons.

6 Architecture Decomposition

Actalk is decomposed into:

- the kernel which defines the basic semantics of active objects,
- and its various extensions, specified as class libraries representing and simulating various OOCP models, languages, constructs, and examples.

Decomposition and Parameterization of the Kernel

We identified three classes and a related set of methods.

- Some of these methods are named *parameter methods* (or virtual methods) as they are designed to be redefined in subclasses in order to represent various OOCP models.
- We look for a good balance between modularity as well as concision of the kernel.

Kernel Classes

Actalk kernel is decomposed in three kernel classes:

• ActiveObject: the behavior of the active object, that is the one which ultimately computes the messages.

This class represents user programs as well as the program constructs defined by a specific OOCP language.

• Activity: the internal activity of the active object.

This class provides the autonomy (process) to the active object. It also defines the way messages are selected, scheduled and handled. This includes possible synchronization policies on activation of messages.

• Address: the address (mailbox) of an active object, that is the identifier of an active object where messages will be sent.

This class defines the way messages will be interpreted, that is possible types of communication.

This decomposition in three classes allowes:

- to decouple the semantics of transmission of messages with the semantics of their computation.
- to decouple user programs with the model of activity.
- to separate one program (active object behavior) with the specification of its inner synchronization (activity).
- one may associate several activities to a same address, thus simulating immediately the Actor model of computation.

7 Definition, Structure and Use of an Active Object

Definition and Use

- The programmer defines the behavior of an active object as a subclass of kernel class ActiveObject or one of its subclasses.
- An instance of this class represents the *behavior* of an active object.
- In order to actually *create and activate the active object*, one need to send the message **active** to the behavior.
- This implicitly creates and initializes the two other components, that is the activity and the address, of the active object.
- The new address is returned as the value of the method active.

Structure

The active object may be viewed with three successive layers:

- the address, which is the external reference to the active object,
- the internal activity which controls selection, acceptance and activation of requests,
- the inner behavior which ultimately computes the request.

Way it Works

The basic way an active object (standard type as defined by the kernel) works is as following:

- the address receives the message and enqueues it onto its private mailbox (mail queue),
- independently (eventually), the activity picks up the message and accepts it, that is delegates its computation to the behavior,
- the behavior performs the message.

8 Parameterization of Kernel Classes

The following three tables summarize for each kernel class its parameter methods and examples of redefinitions.

Class ActiveObject Parameter Methods

method selector	parameter	default value	examples of redefinitions
privateInitialize	initialization	nothing	none (yet!)
active	start the	create activity and	(SimulatedBodyObject) send
	activity	address, start	an initialization message to
		activity	start the body
activityClass	activity class	Activity	(PoolObject) PoolActivity
addressClass	$address\ class$	Address	(PoolObject) PoolAddress

Class Activity Parameter Methods

method selector	parameter	default value	examples of redefinitions
privateInitialize	initialization	nothing	(CountersActivity) initialize
			synchronisation counters
start	start the ac-	start	(Abcl3Activity) start a sec-
	tivity	the process created	ond activity process specific to
	(process)	$\mathrm{by}\ \mathtt{createProcess}$	express messages
createProcess	create the ac-	create a process	(Basic2Activity) create a
	tivity process	computing body	handle to the process (useful
			for termination control)
body	specification	serially accept suc-	(SingleMessageActivity) ac-
	of the activity	cessive messages	cept a single message (e.g., Ac-
			tors behavior activity)
nextMessage	next message	return and remove	$({\tt EnabledSelectorsActivity})$
	to be accepted	first message from	return and remove first mes-
		message queue	sage whose selector is enabled
acceptMessage:	accept	performMessage:	(ConcurrentActivity) start a
	$and \ compute$		subprocess to compute the
	$a \ message$		message
performMessage:	perform a	delegates ac-	(ImplicitReplyActivity) re-
	message	tual perform to the	turn the value to the implicit
		behavior	reply destination
addressClass	$address\ class$	Address	$({\tt ImplicitReplyActivity})$
			ImplicitReplyAddress
invocationClass	invocation	Message	$({\tt WithSenderActivity})$
For:	class		WithSenderInvocation
			(includes the sender)

method selector	parameter	default value	examples of redefinitions
privateInitialize	initialization	nothing	(InvocationAddress) initial- ize arrival time stamp counter
receiveMessage:	receive a message	asynchronousSend: inMessageQueue:	(GenericSendAddress) dis- patch along the message send type and mode
asynchronousSend: inMessageQueue:	receive an asynchronous send message	enqueue message to message queue	(SynchroConcurrentAddress) atomically trigger the message reception event

Class Address Parameter Methods

9 Modular Decomposition and Parameterization

In order to possibly increase the expressivity of the platform without increasing complexity (number of parameter methods) and decreasing efficiency at the top of the hierarchy, we introduce further decomposition and parameterization *when* and *where* needed, that is within the hierarchy.

Kernel Levels

The kernel is functionally decomposed along three levels according to functionalities offered:

- 1. essential characteristics: the parameter methods and other basic methods, $% \left({{{\rm{ods}},} \right)$
- 2. extended functionalities, including control of the activity (e.g., termination) and generic event methods,
- 3. entry point for the user (kernel classes: ActiveObject, Activity and Address), with user-level facilities (tracing, checking, cleaning...).

Generic Event Methods

- Level 2 introduces *generic event methods* associated to the three following events:
 - *receive*: the active object receives a message.
 - *accept*: the active object accepts a message and starts computing it.
 - *complete*: the active object completes computation of the message.

Each generic method takes current message as an argument.

These methods may be used by the user to attach actions to a given class of active objects, e.g., to:

- trace activites,
- step computation,
- control scheduling of activities,...

These generic methods are also useful for modeling extensions, e.g., computing post actions for the POOL2 language (class PoolActivity).

- In order to avoid confusion and shadowing between user-level (e.g., trace) and modeling-level (e.g., simulate post actions), we make a distinction between user event methods and kernel event methods.
- Class SynchroConcurrentActivity introduces a third level: *synchronization event methods* which ensure atomicity of events.
- Other examples of further decomposition within the hierarchy may be found with the following classes: GenericSendAddress, SelectiveAcceptActivity, ConcurrentActivity. They are described in the following tables summarizing respective hierarchies of each kernel class.

model	active object class	default activity/address class
kernel	ActiveObject	Activity
ABCL/1 wait for	Abcl1Object	Abcl1Address
sender, wait for with where	${ m Abcl2Object}$	Abcl2Activity
constraint		
express	${ m Abcl3Object}$	Abcl3Activity
message control (atomic, non		
resume)		
Actors behavior replacement	ActorObject	${\it SingleMessageActivity}$
explicit acceptance of a mes-	$\operatorname{ExplicitAcceptObject}$	ExplicitAcceptActivity
sage (answer, unblocking		
answer)		
POOL2 post actions, public	PoolObject	PoolActivity
routines		
ConcurrentSmalltalk-II	${\it SuspendObject}$	SuspendActivity
method suspension		
(relinquish)		
post actions	${ m ConcurrentSmalltalkObject}$	Concurrent Smalltalk Activity
reference to sender	With Sender Object	WithSenderActivity

Hierarchy of Active Object Classes

Hierarchy of Activity Classes

model	activity class	default address class
kernel	Activity	Address
reference to sender	WithSenderActivity	WithSenderAddress
ABCL/1 express message	Abcl3Activity	Abcl3Address
handling		
accept a single message	${ m SingleMessageActivity}$	
implicit reply handling	ImplicitReplyActivity	ImplicitReplyAddress
enabled sets of selectors	${\it EnabledSelectorsActivity}$	
abstract states	${\it AbstractStatesActivity}$	
explicit acceptance of	$\operatorname{ExplicitAcceptActivity}$	
messages		
POOL2 post actions, public	PoolActivity	PoolAddress
routines		
selective acceptance of	${ m SelectiveAcceptActivity}$	
messages		
guards	GuardsActivity	
concurrent	ConcurrentActivity	
activations, management of		
activity subprocesses		
method suspension	$\operatorname{SuspendActivity}$	
post actions	ConcurrentSmalltalkActivity	
synchronization events	SynchroConcurrentActivity	SynchroConcurrentAddress
guards with synchroniza-	$\operatorname{CountersActivity}$	
tion counters		
generic notion of message	InvocationActivity	InvocationAddress
invocation		
	PlainInvocationActivity	
mixed models	ASIActivity	
	ASCActivity	

Hierarchy of Address Classes

model	address class
kernel	Address
Actors behavior replacement message handling (for external	${\it External Replace Actor Address}$
computation of behavior replacement)	
generic dispatch of message send type and mode	$\operatorname{GenericSendAddress}$
ABCL/1 past, now and future message send types	${ m Abcl1Address}$
reference to sender	m Abcl2Address
express message send mode	${ m Abcl3Address}$
ABCL/f single assignment future	AbclfAddress
implicit reply handling	ImplicitReplyAddress
POOL2 synchronous message passing	PoolAddress
synchronization of concurrent activities: atomic triggering	SynchroConcurrentAddress
of message receive event	
generic invocations: increment the arrival time stamp	InvocationAddress
counter	
reference to sender	With Sender Address

Generic Management of Messages

- Class MailBox (subclass of standard class SharedQueue) groups various accessing and filtering methods to access messages in a message queue (e.g., to look for some matching condition, or for a specific message pattern).
- Class Invocation (subclass of standard class Message) provides generic invocations which may include or/and compute extra information (e.g., arrival time in order to ensure preservation message ordering, record number times skipped in order to ensure no starvation...).

10 The Combination Issue

- Parameter methods *activityClass* and *addressClass* define default classes of activity and address.
- One may redefine them for a given class, or/and also specify them when creating a specific active object.
- Thus, one may combine between various active object, activity and address classes, to create various hybrid models.
- Example: an active object conforming to Actor model of computation (classes ActorObject and SingleMessageActivity) and with the ABCL/1 three types of message passing (class Abcl1Address).
- This example is valid, but some others would not work if there are some disjoint assumptions about their respective related classes. E.g., the activity class Abcl3Activity assumes that the address class defines an express message mailbox (as defined by class Abcl3Address).
- Actalk provides a simple way to specify compatibility constraints between component classes and to check these compatibilities.
- The designer or user may define the following methods: activeObjectConstraint, activityConstraint and addressConstraint to specify compatibility constraints for each component class.

11 Example: Combining Synchronization Policies

Abstract States

```
ImplicitReplyActivity subclass: #EnabledSelectorsActivity
   instanceVariableNames: 'enabledSelectors '
   classVariableNames: ''
  poolDictionaries: ''
   category: 'Actalk-Ext-SelectActivity'
nextMessage
   `self mailBox firstMessageWithCondition: [:message |
      enabledSelectors includes: message selector]
EnabledSelectorsActivity subclass: #AbstractStatesActivity
   instanceVariableNames: ''
   classVariableNames: ''
  poolDictionaries: ''
   category: 'Actalk-Synchro-AbsStates'
"Compute the initial set of enabled selectors."
privateInitialize
   super privateInitialize.
   enabledSelectors := self perform: self initialAbstractState
"State transition: compute next set of enabled selectors."
kernelEventComplete: aMessage
   super kernelEventComplete: aMessage.
   enabledSelectors := self perform:
      (self nextAbstractStateAfter: aMessage selector)
```

Guards and Synchronization Counters

```
SynchroConcurrentActivity subclass: #CountersActivity
   instanceVariableNames: 'receivedDictionary acceptedDictionary completedDictionary '
   classVariableNames: ''
  poolDictionaries: ''
   category: 'Actalk-Synchro-Counters'
privateInitialize
   super privateInitialize.
   self makeSynchroCounterDictionariesOnSelectors: oself class allScriptSelectors
"Checking acceptance of a message."
isSynchroAcceptableMessage: aMessage
   `self satisfyGuardSelector: aMessage selector arguments: aMessage arguments
satisfyGuardSelector: selector arguments: argumentsArray
   `self perform: (self findGuardSelector: selector) withArguments: argumentsArray
"Representation of guards: methods prefixed by symbol guardOF."
findGuardSelector: selector
   ^('guardOF' , selector) asSymbol
"Associate synchronization counters update to events."
synchroEventAccept: aMessage
   super synchroEventAccept: aMessage.
   self incrAccepted: aMessage selector
synchroEventComplete: aMessage
   super synchroEventComplete: aMessage.
   self incrCompleted: aMessage selector
synchroEventReceive: aMessage
   super synchroEventReceive: aMessage.
   self incrReceived: aMessage selector
```

```
"Creation of the synchronization counters."
makeSynchroCounterDictionariesOnSelectors: selectors
  receivedDictionary := IdentityDictionary new.
   acceptedDictionary := IdentityDictionary new.
   completedDictionary := IdentityDictionary new.
   selectors do: [:selector |
      receivedDictionary at: selector put: 0.
      acceptedDictionary at: selector put: 0.
      completedDictionary at: selector put: 0]
"Status of invocations."
accepted: selector
   ^acceptedDictionary at: selector
completed: selector
   ^completedDictionary at: selector
current: selector
   ^(self accepted: selector) - (self completed: selector)
pending: selector
   ^(self received: selector) - (self accepted: selector)
received: selector
   ^receivedDictionary at: selector
"Updating the synchronization counters."
incrAccepted: selector
   acceptedDictionary at: selector
      put: (acceptedDictionary at: selector) + 1
incrCompleted: selector
   completedDictionary at: selector
      put: (completedDictionary at: selector) + 1
incrReceived: selector
  receivedDictionary at: selector
      put: (receivedDictionary at: selector) + 1
```

Mixed Model: Abstract States + Guards

The following *mixed* model [Thomas PARLE'92] uses:

- abstract states to specify state synchronization,
- and guards to specify activation synchronization conditions.

```
CountersActivity subclass: #ASCActivity
   instanceVariableNames: 'enabledSelectors '
   classVariableNames: ''
  poolDictionaries: ''
   category: 'Actalk-Synchro-ASC'
"These two methods are hand-coded combinations/mixins."
privateInitialize
   super privateInitialize.
   enabledSelectors := self perform: self initialAbstractState
synchroEventComplete: aMessage
   super synchroEventComplete: aMessage.
   enabledSelectors := self perform:
      (self nextAbstractStateAfter: aMessage selector)
"This expresses the conjonction of acceptance conditions."
isSynchroAcceptableMessage: aMessage
   ^(enabledSelectors includes: aMessage selector)
      and: [super isSynchroAcceptableMessage: aMessage]
```

Example: Bounded Buffer

```
ASCActivity subclass: #ASCBoundedBufferActivity
   instanceVariableNames: ''
   classVariableNames: ''
   poolDictionaries: ''
   category: 'Actalk-Synchro-ASC-Examples'
"Abstract states."
empty
   ^#(put:)
full
   ^#(get)
partial
   ^(self empty) + (self full)
initialAbstractState
   ^#empty
"Abstract states transition. (oself: the active object behavior itself)."
nextAbstractStateAfter: selector
   ^oself isEmpty
      ifTrue:
         [#empty]
      ifFalse:
         [oself isFull
            ifTrue: [#full]
            ifFalse: [#partial]]
"Guards: one get and one put: may proceed concurrently."
guardOFget
   ^(self current: #get) = 0
guardOFput: item
   ^(self current: #put:) = 0
```

12 Evaluation and Critique

Actalk eases analysis and comparison of existing OOCP systems.

Main objective/use remains pedagogical.

- Meanwhile Actalk provides a framework which may help to design, reuse, and combine existing ones into new ones. This means Actalk may be used as a prototyping environment.
- It cannot express any kind of OOCP model.
- Meanwhile we believe that it achieves some constructive compromise between expressivity, simplicity, and practicality concerns.
- This is just an implementation of existing models after all!
- Actalk helps reusing and combining models and policies because all their simulations are organized along some common framework and architecture.
- This is just a matter of classifying and reorganizing a hierarchy of classes when simulating/including new models.
- Our experience shows that: the initial architecture framework (from 88) remained mostly intact; current extensions model some relatively wide area of OOCP systems; further decomposition and parameterization may be added within the hierarchy without changing the kernel.
- We cannot express any kind of language syntax without changing the standard Smalltalk-80 parser.
- Our focus is on semantics and constructs, not syntax. When in need, we choose (the naive and incomplete solution) to tag message selector strings.
- This not a formal way of modeling things.
- Various simulations may be experimented actively thanks to the Smalltalk-80 based programming environment.
- Ultimate goal would be to offer some kind of shared library of OOCP models, constructs, and policies.

13 Related and Further Work

Related Work

- ConcurrentSmalltalk [Yokote & Tokoro OOPSLA'86&87] [Okamura & Tokoro TOOLS-Pacific'90]
- Simtalk [Bézivin OOPSLA'87]
- Coda [McAffer Reflection-Workshop-OOPSLA'93]
- Generic Actalk Scheduler [Lescaudron PhD'92] [Briot & Lescaudron Concurrency-Workshop-ECOOP'92] [Briot WOOC'93]
- Prototalk [Dony et al. OOPSLA'92]

Future Work

To get feedback on actual use by other teams for experiments, and applications, e.g., ongoing project on natural language based on a multiagent/blackboard architecture.

More investigation, extensions, examples.

To port and improve programming environment tools developed for previous version.

Access

Documented version on:

anonymous ftp

camille.is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp; cd /pub/actalk/

WWW/Mosaic

http://web.yl.is.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp/members/briot/actalk/actalk.html